Neighbour	Neighbour Comments					
Date	Name	Comments	Response			
03.11.2021	Maureen and Robert Martin,	Further to your letter dated 15 October 2021, we have now looked through the documents on line.	Comments noted.			
	27 Village Farm	The property that originally would have affected us - Plot 1 - has now had the first floor balcony removed and we are happy with this new version of Plot 1. We are happy with all the actual building proposals.  However, one thing we wanted to check on was the tree T43 which it says is to be retained. This tree does affect our property as it is right behind the fence. When the tree survey was carried out originally, we spoke to the surveyor and he said that the tree had two cavities in it and they would advise bringing the height of the tree down and cutting down some of the limbs.	Tree no. T43 is located on the north of the site on the Applicant's land. The tree is to be retained as part of the development as it contributes towards the overall character and screening of the site. The tree sits within Plot 1, and therefore responsibility for its management will lie with the future owner of the Plot.  The boundary fence between Plot 1 and the adjacent property will also be the			
		Are you able to state who owns this land that the tree is on? Also who is reponsible if this tree comes down into our garden as it would hit the house, as the branches already extend over 3/4 of our back garden. We have been very concerned in the storms.  We also note that there is going to be a boundary fence between Plot 1 and	responsibility of the future occupier.			
		the trees behind us - who will be responsible for this?  We would be happy to discuss this with the owners of the land or building project and they can contact us on the number below, or if they wish can visit us so we can show them.  We await to hear from you.	17.6 169010			



12.11.2021	Daryl Jones,	I wish to express my concerns with the road and the proposed Entry / Exit to	Comments noted and addressed in PAC
12.11.2021	NatLand	the proposed Bolston houses development.	report.
	NacLaria	We live directly opposite the proposed site with our access to our property	Тероге
		being directly opposite the planned entrance. At present there are 2 residency	
		that use this drive Ty Newydd and ourselves with planning permission for a	
		third property.	
		Access to the A48 is already hindered by the speed of the traffic a blind bend	
		to the left and on occasions parked vehicles on the road which has always been	
		tricky to navigate, with this additional Cars there will be an increase of traffic	
		at the entrance which will add to the existing difficulties of entering and leaving	
		our property.	
		We have lived in the village for over 14 years and in that time the volume and	
		speed of traffic noticeably increased. There have been several collisions in the	
		village to which I understand to have been due to the speed of vehicles and	
		while cars entering and leaving properties. There was a nasty accident that	
		occurred directly adjacent to the entrance recently on the 14th April 2021. With	
		the current contractors that have been turning right into Bolston House, cars &	
		vans have mounted the payment to get past instead of waiting, and narrowly	
		missed my car waiting to get out on more than 2 occasions.	
		I feel these issues need to be addressed with consultation with the council for	
		safer road management and pedestrian walkways in this area before any	
		consideration been given to new developments. Widening the pavement walk	
		areas and reducing the speed through the village. With the number of	
		properties being proposed there will be an average of 40 additional vehicles	
		that will be using the entrance to Bolston house development.	
		Road safety must come first!	



12.11.2021	Deborah	
	Provis on	
	behalf of Mar	
	Provis, Ty	
	Newydd	

I am making representations on behalf of my mother, who lives at Ty Newydd, Bonvilston, CF5 6TQ. She is unable to email/write herself, hence her asking me to make the submission on her behalf.

Her driveway - owned by her and shared with Natland - opens onto the A48 across from the existing access lane to the Bolston House site. The proposal to move the access slightly to the east will place it directly opposite the Ty Newydd/Natland driveway.

In April 2021, I made representations on her behalf to the original planning application (2021/0000423/FUL) for 9 houses, focussing on the safety related implications for the existing properties from increased traffic accessing the site. Obviously these concerns have been reinforced by the increase in proposed units from 9 to 14. The number of anticipated vehicle movements can be expected to increase proportionately to the increased number of dwellings; from what I can see in the plans (and confirmed by GJP), there is no change to the design of direct access onto the A48 other than likely loss of more of the wall fronting Bolston House (the main changes are confined to the access roads within the development, who maintains them, room for passing/turning vehicles eg refuse lorries).

My mother did not object to the original development application per se in terms of numbers of dwellings (provided this remained at 9 or less) or their style and configuration on the site. Her concern focussed on the A48 and the safety-related implications for the existing properties/neighbours from the increased traffic accessing the site. Her concerns have been reinforced given the proposed increase in numbers of units.

In this current application process, we have had visibility for the first time of the drainage scheme (as described in the 'Bonvilston Housing Drainage Statement') whereby the foul water from the new development will enter the DC/WW's adopted combined sewer. This runs underneath/alongside my mother's driveway and house (with access manholes on her property) and she requires assurances that the capacity of the sewer is sufficient for the additional

Comments noted and addressed in PAC report.

Two telephone conversations were held with the resident to further understand their concerns and provide reassurance.



waste. She also has concerns about the potential of excess surface 'run-off' water from the site, flowing downhill across the A48 and onwards down the slope into her property.

In summary, my mother has not changed her original position, and requires further reassurances on both these points - see below for detail.

She also requests that she/Ty Newydd is included formally in list of properties to be consulted as the application proceeds through the planning system.

## DETAIL

## (a) Vehicle Access

My mother has lived in Bonvilston for many years, initially in Plas Newydd (immediately to the west of Ty Newydd and which also has direct access onto the A48) and for last 33 years in Ty Newydd. She is thus very familiar with the A48 as it passes through the centre of the village. Accessing the A48 from driveways in this central part of the village is already difficult; visibility from both directions is not good as the road bends and descends. There is a history of accidents involving vehicles and pedestrians on this section, including on Wednesday 14 April 2021 when a car overturned and the road was closed. The speed of the traffic through Bonvilston (current speed limit is 40mph, but many drivers ignore it) is a major factor as is the volume of traffic (both private cars and commercial vehicles including large lorries) which has steadily increased over the years. She is very concerned that the significant increase in vehicles entering/exiting the Bolston House development directly opposite Ty Newydd initially with the contractor traffic during the construction phase and subsequently with the vehicles of the new residents, their families, visitors, delivery drivers etc - will increase exponentially the safety risks to family/visitors entering/exiting her driveway.

The current re-development of the Woodlands site immediately adjacent to the Bolston House site and making use of the Bolston House access lane has



already generated significant contractor traffic and increased safety concerns from neighbours.

As part of considering our response to this pre-Application Planning process, I sought reassurance from GJP that specific consideration had been given to the potential safety impact of the additional traffic joining/exiting the A48 at this point upon users of the existing driveways in the immediate vicinity of the new access (in particular my mother's, given its position directly opposite) as we would have expected this issue to have been explored any substantive traffic assessment traffic. GJP have stated that consideration had been given 'generally' as part of ongoing discussions with the Highways Agency, and that the development's access will not be allowed to create any detriment to the users of the other driveways entering the A48 at this point.

As it stands, this does not give my mother sufficient reassurance. So until we get sight of (a) the Transport Note prepared by GJP (which I understand we will at a later stage in the application process) and (b) the Highways Agency views on it, my mother's position remains as per her response to the original application. This is that the Vale of Glamorgan Council should link approval for the Bolston House development with immediate implementation of the proposed reduction in speed limit to 30mph (as in St Nicholas, so there is already precedent for the A48 to have sections with a 30mph limit), together with the widening of pavements thereby narrowing the vehicle lanes (an additional contribution to overall traffic calming, as well as giving improved visibility for cars moving out onto the A48) from all access points. In our view, this is the only way that the risks arising to existing neighbouring houses and A48 users from the increased traffic in/out of the Bolston House site can be satisfactorily mitigated. This must be implemented before any construction starts, so that the increased safety risks are mitigated immediately; the safety of contractors working on the Bolston House site will also be enhanced.

(b) Drainage - Sewer



My mother also seeks specific reassurance that the combined sewer has sufficient capacity to cope with the additional foul water that is expected to join it from the proposed new development. I sought clarification from GJP over the process for the developers getting approval from DC/WW. From the response, it appears that (a) DC/WW has not raised this as an issue of specific concern with GJP, and so (b) the main issue for the developers is not the capacity issue, but getting agreement for the diversion of the sewer access from the Red Lion pub (which will be subject of a 'separate application').

I understood from FGP that surface 'run off' water will not be allowed to enter the sewer, but will be kept 'on site' via a combination of using permeable material in place of tarmac and 'rain gardens' to manage excess water. My mother will need reassurance that this approach will be effective

In this response, my mother wishes to put a marker down that these remain issues for her. She would expect (a) the developers and DC/WW to consider these points during their process, and (b) provide specific reassurances that the combined sewer capacity is sufficient, and the mitigations being put in place to manage surface water will prevent flooding of her property both during construction and after completion.

