collayer assuming no residents were parked which is unlikely. AECOM suggests that with no pavements other cars will now be constantly circling this area whilst children and parents are trying to return to their parked cars.

 The road in front of the church is too narrow for 2 cars to pass unless cars park up on the verge. If cars were parked on the grass verge there is probably room for a maximum of 10.

 The most dangerous idea of all is to suggest cars exit the junction by the phone box which is narrow and has extremely poor vision and will not remotely comply with regulations which clearly demonstrates that AECOM haven't even been to the location to measure the visibility splay.

 How can AECOM possibly suggest a one way system that is only known to parents and some village residents, what about other road users e.g. delivery

vans etc.

The most sensible option which would comply with 4.1.9 PPW, LTP, LDP etc is to locate any new school nearest to where the greatest demand exists and thereby minimises the need to use of the car. Any new school will probably have an expected life span of at least 70 years and will therefore have an impact on its environment for the same period. If these important issues are to be ignored and a new school built in St Nicholas then an alternative larger site with safer access and parking provision needs to be found. An alternative site was offered by Mr R Trehame and a figure was initially agreed but was apparently rejected by the Head Governor and Head Mistress who will only have a transient connection with the school.

Vester Snith Suth.

Address

The orchard

Ut. Nichplas

CFS GSH.

Print Name

I have been a resident for

26

years.