



ArbTS - Arboricultural Technician Services

(Tree Consultancy Services)

Stephen Lucocq *BSc (Hons), Tech Cert (ArborA), M.Arbor.A*
Professional Member of the Arboricultural Association

Web site: www.Arbs.co.uk

Email: info@ArbTS.co.uk

Phone: (01639) 731 139

Mobile: 07789 551 591

Arboricultural Report

Including:

Tree Survey Data

&

Tree Constraints Plan (TCP)

To the British Standard 5837:2012

*(Trees in relation to design, demolition
and construction. Recommendations)*

Date – 23rd July 2018

Site – Whitemore High School

Project Reference – ArbTS_489.1_WhitemoreHighSchool

Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction	3
2.0	The Tree Survey	3
3.0	The Trees	4
4.0	Tree Constraints Plan Information	4
5.0	Tree Protection Information	5
6.0	Conclusion	5
7.0	Qualifications & Further Information	6
8.0	Bibliography & Web Information	7
9.0	Appendix	
1A	Tree Survey Data	
1B	Detailed Tree Survey Data Summary	
2	Tree Constraints Plan	
3	Tree Survey Key	
4	An Introduction to Tree Protection	
5	Tree Photographs	

Copyright © 2018

ArbTS, 2 Tan Y Graig, Caerlan, Abercraf, SA9 1TA. All rights reserved.

No part of this report may be copied or reproduced by any means without prior written permission from ArbTS.

If you have received this report in error, please destroy all copies in your possession or control.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the commissioning party and unless otherwise agreed in writing by ArbTS, no other party may use, make use of or rely on the contents of this report.

No liability is accepted by ArbTS for any use of this report, other than for the purposes for which it was originally prepared and provided.

Opinions and information provided in the report are on the basis of ArbTS using due skill, care and diligence in the preparation of the same and no warranty is provided as to their accuracy.

Surveys are undertaken on the understanding that nothing in the final report will be omitted, amended or misrepresented by the client or any other interested party.

This report and its contents remain the property of ArbTS until payment has been made in full.

It should be noted and it is expressly stated that no independent verification of any of the documents or information supplied to ArbTS has been made.

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to give an assessment as to the quality and constraints of the trees at Whitemore High School. The findings of this survey will be used to inform future design proposals, to preserve and minimise damage to the important trees on or adjacent to this site.
- 1.2 This report identifies the quality of the trees on or adjacent to this site as categorised by the *British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations*. The survey and findings as reported here represent an unbiased third party opinion offering professional advice as to the value of the trees on this site. A Tree Constraints Plan (TCP) has been drawn, as found in Appendix 2, to illustrate the constraints identified trees pose to the design of future development.
- 1.3 Arboricultural constraints within the surveyed site relate primarily to the preservation of trees recommended for retention. Identified trees must be protected during the construction phase through the employment of a combination of protective barriers, ground protection zones and tree safe construction methods, designed by a suitably qualified Arboriculturist.
- 1.4 The trees' root systems and the associated soil structure is often over looked during the construction process, and can be damaged or altered by compaction, causing major damage to the health of the tree. Generally, the entire root system of the tree is within the top 600mm of soil where it can be easily damaged. A calculated area of ground around the tree should be protected for the duration of the onsite construction phase. In this report it is referred to as the Root Protection Area (RPA).
- 1.5 No Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plan or Tree Protection Method Statement are included within this report. No assessment has been made regarding the suitability of the proposed development design within this report.

2.0 The Tree Survey

- 2.1 The tree survey was conducted by Stephen Lucocq *BSc (Hons), Tech Cert (ArborA), M.Arbor.A* on 6th July 2018.
- 2.2 Trees over 75mm were tagged where appropriate with numbered metal identification tags at around 2.0 metres above ground level.
- 2.3 All observations were made from the ground with the aid of an acoustic sounding hammer. No invasive decay detective instruments were used.
- 2.4 The survey was carried out in accordance to *British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations*. This standard gives a systematic, consistent and transparent evaluation method to tree surveying.
- 2.5 The survey was conducted with the aid of a topographical survey.

- 2.6 **Preliminary management recommendations:** The survey has identified preliminary management recommendation for the trees on or adjacent to this site. Details regarding these identified operations are given in this report (See Appendix 1 - Tree Survey Data). Where work priority is stated to be H – High due to safety reasons, these operations should be carried out as soon as practically possible. Where work priority is stated to be M/H – medium/high or higher, these operations should be undertaken before commencement of any works on site.
- 2.7 **Limitations of the tree survey:** Whilst every effort is made to ensure an accurate assessment of the tree's condition is made during survey, no responsibility can be taken for resultant damage or injury occurred by a failing tree. The survey only gives a snap shot of what is visible and is not obscured on the day of the survey. The survey identifies trees of varying quality and their above ground/below ground constraints. This survey does not constitute a full tree condition/tree risk assessment of the site and this report is only valid for 12 months from the date of the tree survey.

3.0 The Trees

- 3.1 The full tree survey data can be found in Appendix 1A Tree Survey Data.
- 3.2 Tree Survey Summary Table (See Appendix 3 for BS5837 category definitions).
(A more detailed Tree Survey Data Summary can be found in Appendix 1B)

BS5837:2012 Quality Category	Total Number of Individual Trees Surveyed	Total Number of Tree Groups Surveyed	Total Number of Tree Areas Surveyed	Total Number of Woodland Areas Surveyed	Total Number of Hedgerows Surveyed	Total
A (High - Most desirable for retention)	0	0	0	0	0	0
B (Moderate - Desirable for retention)	13	5	0	0	0	18
C (Low - Optional for retention)	10	9	0	0	4	23
U (Poor - Unsuitable for retention)	2	0	0	0	0	2
Total A,B,C,U	25	14	0	0	4	43

4.0 Tree Constraints Plan (TCP) Information

- 4.1 A Tree Constraints Plan (TCP) can be found at Appendix 2 of this report. An introduction to TCP can also be found at the start of Appendix 2. For further information and details regarding TCP please see the *British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations*.

5.0 Tree Protection Information

- 5.1 No Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plan or Tree Protection Method Statement are included within this report for the proposed development design. An introduction to Tree Protection can be found at Appendix 4.

6.0 Conclusion

- 6.1 This site has potential to accommodate development whilst retaining the trees of value. The significant trees on or adjacent to this site should be given due consideration in the development design process.
- 6.2 If the health and stability of the trees are maintained, and the following strategies implemented: a suitable development design; tree protection methods; Arboricultural site supervision and tree after care, the process of construction could be conducted with no adverse impact on the important trees upon or adjacent to this site.

7.0 Further Information & Qualifications

Stephen Lucocq has been involved in Arboriculture within South Wales for nearly twenty years. He has worked as an Arborist for many of these years and has a good working knowledge of the practical side of the profession. He has always taken an active interest in all areas of Arboriculture and kept up to date with current research and developments.

Qualifications

- First Class BSc (Hons) Degree – Combined Studies - Biology and IT
- Arboricultural Association Technicians Certificate – Level 4 - (Merit)
- PTI - Professional Tree Inspection (Lantra Awards)
- 2D Computer Aided Design (City and Guilds - Level 3)
- Quantified Tree Risk Assessment (QTRA) – Mike Ellison
- Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) – Mike Ellison
- Arboriculture and Bats (Lantra)
- Industrial Rope Access Trade Association (IRATA)
- Practical Arboriculture Qualifications (NPTC)

Membership

- Arboricultural Association Professional Member (*M.Arbor.A*)

8.0 Web Information & Bibliography

Web Information

- Arboricultural Association
<http://www.trees.org.uk/>
- Cellular Confinement System
GeoWeb - [GreenFix](#)
CellWeb - [Geosynthetics Cellweb](#)
- Underground Utilities Installation
<http://www.njug.org.uk/>

Bibliography

- British Standards 3998 (2010) Recommendations for Tree Work UK; British Standards Intuition
- British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations UK; British Standards Intuition
- Coombes, A.J (1992) Trees London; Dorling Kindersley
- Lonsdale, D (1999) Principle of Tree Hazard Assessment and Management Edinburgh; Forestry Commission
- Mattheck, C (2007) Field Guide for Visual Tree Assessment Germany; Karlsruhe Research Centre
- Shigo, A.L (1991) Modern Arboriculture USA; Shigo and Trees, Association
- Sterry, P (2007) Collins Complete British Trees London; Collins
- Strouts, R.G (2000) Diagnosis of ill-health in trees Edinburgh; Forestry Commission
- Weber,K & Mattheck, C (2003) Manual of wood decay UK; Arboricultural Association

9.0 Appendix 1A -Tree Survey Data

Tree ID #	Tree Species	Age	Stems	Stem Diam (mm)	Cat	Height + (Lower Branch Height)	Nrth	Est	Sth	Wst	Phys Cond	Struc Cond	Est. Remain Contrib	Comments	Preliminary Management Recommendations	Work Priority	RPR (m)	RPA (m2)
G1	X Cupressocyparis leylandii (Leyland Cyp)	EM	1	500	C2	12(5)	1	3	3	4	F	F	10+	Suppressed growth from surrounding trees.	Multistemmed upright in form, limited future		6	113.1
G2	X Cupressocyparis leylandii (Leyland Cyp)	EM	1	400	C2	12(2)	3	3	3	3	F	F	<10	Suppressed growth from large adjacent tree.			4.8	72.39
G3	Cypress spp (Cypress spp)	EM	1	250	C2	8(0)	3	3	3	3	G/F	N/A	10+				3	28.28
G4	Fraxinus excelsior (Ash),Fagus sylvatica (Beech),Acer platanoides (Norway Maple Crimson king)	EM	1	400	C2	8(0)	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	G/F	N/A	10+	Located on private land preventing a close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.	Located in adjacent park		4.8	72.39
G5	Fraxinus excelsior (Ash),Sorbus aucuparia (Rowan),Fagus sylvatica (Beech),Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore),Alnus cordata (Italian Alder)	M	1	500	B2	12(3)	5	5	5	5	G/F	G/F	20+	Located on private land preventing a close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.	Located in adjacent park		6	113.1
G6	Populus hybrid spp (Poplar hybrid spp)	M	1	400	B2	16(3)	4	4	4	4	G/F	G/F	10+	low B category. Located on private land preventing a close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.	Located in adjacent park; fast growing short lived spp		4.8	72.39
G7	Populus hybrid spp (Poplar hybrid spp),Fraxinus excelsior (Ash),Fagus sylvatica (Beech)	M	1	400	B2	16(3)	4	4	4	4	G/F	G/F	10+	low B category. Located on private land preventing a close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.	Located in adjacent park; Mainly hybrid poplar spp, fast growing short lived spp, one ash of medium size noted at southern end of group		4.8	72.39
G8	Fraxinus excelsior (Ash)	SM	1	200	C2	10(0)	4	4	4	4	G/F	F	10+	Located on private land preventing a close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.	Group of tightly grown slender ash trees		2.4	18.1
G9	Prunus avium (Wild Cherry),Acer campestre (Field Maple),Cypress spp (Cypress spp)	SM	1	200	C2	6(0)	3	3	3	3	G/F	F	10+		Untidy boundary group of trees and shrubs		2.4	18.1

Tree ID #	Tree Species	Age	Stems	Stem Diam (mm)	Cat	Height + (Lower Branch Height)	Nrth	Est	Sth	Wst	Phys Cond	Struc Cond	Est. Remain Contrib	Comments	Preliminary Management Recommendations	Work Priority	RPR (m)	RPA (m2)
G10	Prunus avium (Wild Cherry),Acer campestre (Field Maple),Fraxinus excelsior (Ash)	SM	1	200	C2	6(0)	3	3	3	3	G/F	F	10+		Untidy boundary group of trees and shrubs		2.4	18.1
G11	Fraxinus excelsior (Ash),Acer campestre (Field Maple)	SM	1	300	C2	9(0)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	F	N/A	20+	high C category. Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.	Boundary group of trees, mainly early mature ash and ash regeneration; signs of ash dieback disease noted within the regeneration		3.6	40.72
G12	Betula pendula (Silver Birch),Fraxinus excelsior (Ash),Acer campestre (Field Maple),Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn),Corylus avellana (Hazel),Quercus robur (Common Oak),Prunus avium (Wild Cherry)	SM	1	350	B2	8(2)	4	4	4	4	G/F	F	10+	low B category.	Boundary row of trees		4.2	55.42
G13	Acer campestre (Field Maple),Fraxinus excelsior (Ash),Prunus avium (Wild Cherry),Quercus robur (Common Oak),Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn)	EM	1	200	B2	6(2)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	G/F	F	20+	low B category.	Overgrown group of trees, could be managed as a hedgerow; no evident recent management noted		2.4	18.1
G14	X Cupressocyparis leylandii (Leyland Cyp)	EM	1	450	C2	12(2)	5	5	5	5	F	F	10+		Boundary group of unmanaged leylandii, limited future		5.4	91.62
H1	Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn)	EM	1	125	C2	2(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	G/F	G/F	10+	high C category. A hedgerow with no noticeable gaps noted. Received some regular recent maintenance.	Boundary hedgerow		1.5	7.07
H2	Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn),Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	EM	1	125	C2	2(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	G/F	G/F	10+	A hedgerow with no noticeable gaps noted. Received some regular recent maintenance.	Boundary hedgerow; in need of hedgerow pruning		1.5	7.07
H3	Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn),Sambucus nigra (Elder)	EM	1	125	C2	2(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	G/F	G/F	10+	A hedgerow with no noticeable gaps noted. Received some regular recent maintenance.	Boundary hedgerow; in need of hedgerow pruning		1.5	7.07
H4	Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn),Fraxinus excelsior (Ash)	EM	1	125	C2	2(0)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	G/F	G/F	10+	A hedgerow with no noticeable gaps noted. Received some regular recent maintenance.	Boundary hedgerow; in need of hedgerow pruning		1.5	7.07

Tree ID #	Tree Species	Age	Stems	Stem Diam (mm)	Cat	Height + (Lower Branch Height)	Nrth	Est	Sth	Wst	Phys Cond	Struc Cond	Est. Remain Contrib	Comments	Preliminary Management Recommendations	Work Priority	RPR (m)	RPA (m2)	
T1	<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i> (Rowan)	EM	1	100	C2	4(0)	2	2	2	2	F	F	10+				1.2	4.52	
T2	<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i> (Rowan)	EM	1	100	C2	4(0)	2	2	2	2	F	F	10+				1.2	4.52	
T3	<i>Cypress spp</i> (Cypress spp)	EM	1	175	C2	4(0)	2	2	3	3	F	F	10+				2.1	13.86	
T4	<i>Cypress spp</i> (Cypress spp)	EM	1	250	C2	9(3)	2	2.5	2	1	F	F	10+	Suppressed growth from large adjacent tree.			3	28.28	
T430	<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> (Sycamore)	M	1	480	B2	10(3)	5	5	4	3	G/F	G	20+	low B category. Suppressed growth from surrounding trees.			5.76	104.2	
T431	<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> (Beech)	M	1	480	B2	11(3)	5	5	2	5	G/F	G/F	20+	low B category. Suppressed growth from surrounding trees.			5.76	104.2	
T432	<i>Salix fragilis</i> (Crack Willow)	M	1	350	U	14(4)	6	4	1	3	G/F	F/P	<10	Central crack noted on both sides of trunk with some reactive growth, fast growing short lived species prone to stem / branch failures	Fell	H/M	4.2	55.42	
T433	<i>Crataegus monogyna</i> (Hawthorn)	EM	2	354	B1	5(1)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	G/F	F	10+	low B category.	Small open grown tree of fair to good form			4.25	56.75
T434	<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> (Sycamore)	M	1	450	B2	10(3)	5	7	4	4	G/F	G	20+	low B category. Suppressed growth from surrounding trees.	multistemmed from 1metre			5.4	91.62
T438	<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> (Sycamore)	M	1	550	B2	11(3)	6	7	7	7	G	G/F	20+	high B category.				6.6	136.9
T439	<i>Betula pendula</i> (Silver Birch)	EM	1	300	C2	3(0)	3	2.5	3	3.5	G/F	G/F	10+	high C category.	Short broad drooping tree of interest			3.6	40.72
T440	<i>Betula pendula</i> (Silver Birch)	EM	1	300	C2	3(0)	3	2.5	3	3	G/F	F	10+	high C category.	Short broad drooping tree of interest; cavity noted on northern side of buttress with surrounding reactive growth noted			3.6	40.72
T441	<i>Acer platanoides</i> (Norway Maple)	M	1	550	B2	11(3)	6	7	4.5	7	G	G/F	20+					6.6	136.9

Tree ID #	Tree Species	Age	Stems	Stem Diam (mm)	Cat	Height + (Lower Branch Height)	Nrth	Est	Sth	Wst	Phys Cond	Struc Cond	Est. Remain Contrib	Comments	Preliminary Management Recommendations	Work Priority	RPR (m)	RPA (m2)	
T442	Betula pendula (Silver Birch)	EM	1	300	C2	3(0)	2	3	4	2	G/F	F	10+	high C category.	Short broad drooping tree of interest		3.6	40.72	
T443	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	M	1	550	B2	10(1)	7	5	7	8	G	G/F	20+	high B category.			6.6	136.9	
T444	Prunus avium (Wild Cherry)	M	3	680	B2	11(2)	5	5	4	5	G/F	F	20+	low B category.	Canker noted on branches typical for species		8.16	209.2	
T445	Fagus sylvatica (Beech)	M	1	450	B2	8(2)	4	6	5	5	G/F	F	20+	low B category.	Wound noted on western buttress with surrounding callus growth		5.4	91.62	
T446	Crataegus spp(Hawthorn spp)	M	1	350	C1	4(1)	3	2	1	3	F/P	F/P	<10	low C category.	Crack willow branch growing on top of tree		4.2	55.42	
T447	Salix fragilis (Crack Willow)	M	1	500	C1	11(1)	4	11	3	4	F	F	10+		Crack willow branch growing on top of tree		6	113.1	
T448	Acer platanoides (Norway Maple)	M	1	500	B2	11(3)	5	7	6	4	G	G/F	20+	Suckers around stem base.			6	113.1	
T449	Aesculus hippocastanum (Horse Chestnut)	M	1	625	B2	11(3)	6	5	5	6	G	G/F	20+		Not plotted on topo so added at +/- 1.5metres accuracy		7.5	176.7	
T450	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	M	1	500	B2	11(1)	5	6	5	6	G	G/F	20+				6	113.1	
T451	Salix fragilis (Crack Willow)	M	1	500	U	9(3)	5	5	3	6	F/P	F/P	10+		Dysfunctional wood noted on southern trunk, species prone to stem/branch failure, tree with a limited future	Fell	H/M	6	113.1
T452	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	M	1	500	B2	11(1)	6	4	5	6	G	G/F	20+				6	113.1	
T453	Cypress spp (Cypress spp)	M	1	550	C2	11(0)	4	3	4	4	F	F	10+				6.6	136.9	

9.0 Appendix 1B – Detailed Tree Survey Data Summary

(Please see Appendix 3 - Tree Survey Key)

Field Usage Results.			
Total Records: 43			
Type	Count	% of Total	
T	25	58.1	
G	14	32.6	
H	4	9.3	
Tree Species	Count	% of Total	
Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn)	2	4.7	
Salix fragilis (Crack Willow)	3	7	
Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	6	14	
Fagus sylvatica (Beech)	2	4.7	
X Cupressocyparis leylandii (Leyland Cyp)	3	7	
Betula pendula (Silver Birch)	3	7	
Acer platanoides (Norway Maple)	2	4.7	
Prunus avium (Wild Cherry)	1	2.3	
Crataegus spp(Hawthorn spp)	1	2.3	
Aesculus hippocastanum (Horse Chestnut)	1	2.3	
Cypress spp (Cypress spp)	4	9.3	
Sorbus aucuparia (Rowan)	2	4.7	
Populus hybrid spp (Poplar hybrid spp)	1	2.3	
Fraxinus excelsior (Ash)	1	2.3	
Age	Count	% of Total	
SM	5	11.6	
EM	18	41.9	
M	20	46.5	
Cat	Count	% of Total	
B1	1	2.3	
B2	17	39.5	
C1	2	4.7	
C2	21	48.8	
U	2	4.7	
Average Stem Diameter	Count	% of Total	
<150	6	14	
<250	5	11.6	

<500	19	44.2
<750	13	30.2
Height	Count	% of Total
<5	11	25.6
<10	11	25.6
<15	19	44.2
<20	2	4.7
Phy Cond	Count	% of Total
G	7	16.3
G/F	24	55.8
F	10	23.3
F/P	2	4.7
Stuc Cond	Count	% of Total
G	2	4.7
G/F	16	37.2
F	19	44.2
F/P	3	7
N/A	3	7
Est. Remain Contrib	Count	% of Total
<10	3	7
10+	25	58.1
20+	15	34.9
RPR	Count	% of Total
<5	25	58.1
<10	18	41.9
RPA	Count	% of Total
<5	2	4.7
<10	4	9.3
<15	1	2.3
<20	4	9.3
<30	2	4.7
other	30	69.8

9.0 Appendix 2 - Tree Constraints Plan

An introduction to the Tree Constraints Plan (TCP)

Trees which have been identified to be retained should be treated as constraints to the design of future development. A Tree Constraints Plan has been drawn and can be found over leaf.

- **Tree Quality** - The TCP highlights the above and below ground constraint each tree poses to the design of future development schemes. Further to this the BS5837 tree quality category (A - High, B - Moderate, C - Low and U- Unsuitable for retention) are coloured coded as solid circles at the centre of the trees' position.
- **Root Protection Area** – A magenta circle on the TCP sets out root protection area (RPA). Within this area no construction work, alteration in ground levels or site traffic (machinery or persons) should occur. This prevents damage to tree roots and soil compaction. (Where possible an Arboriculturist can design suitable tree protection methods to facilitate construction work/site traffic within these areas).
- **Tree Canopy** - The jagged green circle/oval on the TCP sets out the above ground constraints of tree canopy spread. Within this area no construction work or site traffic (machinery or persons) should occur if the tree is to be retained. This prevents damage to the tree branches and trunk. (Where possible an Arboriculturist can design suitable tree protection methods to facilitate construction work/site traffic within these areas).
- **Tree Shading** – Shade from the retained trees should be considered in the development design. The shade cast, depending on the trees height and width, will be from a North West to East pattern through the main part of the day.
- **Tree Future growth** - Within future development design, consideration should also be given to the ultimate height and extent of the canopy spread of all trees within the site identified to be retained.



- Category A (High)
Highly desirable for retention
- Category B (Moderate)
Desirable for retention
- Category C (Low)
Optional for retention
- Category U (Poor)
Unsuitable for retention

Tree Key - Individual Trees



Root Protection Area (RPA) (A layout design tool indicating the minimum area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and soil structure to ensure the trees viability, and where the protection of the roots and soil structure is treated as a priority.)

Metres

0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50

Metres





9.0 Appendix 3 - Tree Survey Data Key

- **Tree ID #** - Identifies the location of individual trees (T-ID Number), Groups of trees (G-ID Number), Area of trees (A-ID Number), Hedgerow (H-ID Number), Woodland (W-ID Number), Row of trees (R-ID Number) and tree Stumps (S-ID Number) on the accompanying plan. (*Please note: A group of trees here refers to two or more standing trees that form a visual whole, whereas an area of trees refers to dispersed individual trees standing within the site*)
- **Tree Species** - Scientific names and common tree name in brackets are generally shown.
- **Age**
 - (Y) Young – Less than 1/3 of life completed
 - (SM) Middle Aged - 1/3 - 2/3 of life completed
 - (EM) Early Mature – Just entering Maturity
 - (M) Mature – more than 2/3 of life completed
 - (OM) Over Mature - more than 3/3 of life completed and declining
 - (V) Veteran - (v) Veteran – Veteran trees have no precise definition but are trees considered to be of biological aesthetic or ecological value because of their age
- **Stems** – Number of tree stems used to calculate the RPR/RPA
- **Stem Diam (mm)** - Diameter of tree stem measured in millimetres for single stem trees or average stem diameter calculated for multi-stemmed trees as detailed in section 4.6 & Annex C of the British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations. The height above ground level where the stem measurement was taken will be shown if not measured at 1.5 metres above ground level. (*Please note: that the stem diameter of certain trees will have to be estimated due to difficulties in taking measurements or for trees with a large number of stems*)
- **Cat** – Tree Quality Category - British Standard 5837:2012 A, B, C, U + 1, 2, 3
 - A – Those of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. (*Most desirable for retention*)
 - B - Those of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years. (*Desirable for retention*)
 - C – Those of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm. (*Optional for retention*)
 - U – Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years. (*Unsuitable for retention unless provides high conservation value*)

Retention Criteria Subcategories: Used for identifying subcategories

E.g. A2 = A high quality tree with high landscape qualities (further details can be found in British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations UK; British Standards Intuition)

- 1 – Mainly Arboricultural qualities
 - 2 – Mainly landscape qualities
 - 3 – Mainly cultural values, including conservation
- **Height + (Lower Branch Height)** - Tree height in metres and in brackets height in metres of the crown (tree branches) clearance at its lowest point above adjacent ground levels.
- **Nrth, Est, Sth, Wst** - Crown Spread (Metres) -Tree branch spread in metres measured in four directions (North, East, South, West) from the trunk.
- **Phys Cond** - Physiological Condition Indicating the health of the tree -
 - (G) Good
 - (F) Fair
 - (P) Poor
 - (D) Dead
 - (N/A) Not Applicable – unable to fully inspect tree due to surrounding vegetation or access issues.
- **Struc Cond** – Structural Condition indicating the structural integrity of the tree -
 - (G) Good – No, or remediable physical defects or decay
 - (F) Fair - Physical non-remediable defects or decay present, not presenting imminent danger but should be monitored
 - (P) Poor - physical non-remediable defects or decay present, tree liable to imminent collapse or loss of major limbs.
 - (D) Dead
 - (N/A) Not Applicable – unable to fully inspect tree due to surrounding vegetation or access issues.
- **Est. Remain Contrib** - (<10, 10+, 20+, 40+)
 - <10 – less than 10 years
 - 10+ – at least 10 years
 - 20+ – at least 20 years
 - 40+ – at least 40 years
- **Comments** – Additional Comments if required

- **Preliminary Management Recommendations** – Work Recommendations, including further investigation of suspected defects that require more detailed assessment and pose potential for wildlife habitat.
- **Work Priority** - Work Priority -This gives a work priority rating of preliminary management for each tree.
 - o H - High – Urgent work to be carried out as soon as practicable due to safety reasons (Within 14 days).
 - o H/M - High- Medium – Work to be carried out within 6 months/or before construction phase begins
 - o M - Medium – Work to be carried out in 12 months
 - o L - Low – After consideration/Re-inspect in 18-24 months
 - o Blank – No work required.
- **RPR** – Root protection radius / **RPA** - Root Protection Area - Is a layout design tool indicating the minimum area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and rooting volume to maintain the tree's viability, and where the protection of the roots and soil structure is treated as a priority. RPR is a circular area measured as a radius in metres from the centre of the tree or RPA is an area in metres squared. Where required this area may be changed in shape but not reduced in area whilst providing adequate protection of the tree's rooting system.

9.0 Appendix 4 – An Introduction to Tree Protection

For the purpose of this report an introduction is given to tree protection. If required an Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plan and Tree Protection Methods Statement can be provided for the finalised development design.

Tree protection methods must be considered and designed by an Arboriculturist. These should then be implemented BEFORE any machinery or materials are bought onto site and before any demolition, development or stripping of soil commences. The Root Protection Area (RPA) (cyan circles/lines) indicated on the Tree Constraints Plan must be set out and the protective barriers and ground protection installed accordingly for retained trees. The protective barriers and ground protection areas shall be regarded as sacrosanct, and shall not be removed or altered without prior recommendation by an Arboriculturist and approval of the LPA.

The areas protected by barrier fencing and ground protection shall be subject to the following restrictions:

- Existing soil levels within the protected areas shall not be altered.
- No excavation of any kind shall take place within the protected areas.
- The protected areas shall not be used for storage of any kind.
- No vehicles or machinery shall be allowed into the areas protected by fencing.
- Should the developer require the above restrictions to be breached for unforeseen reasons, an appropriate method of works must be agreed with the Local Planning Authority prior to any works taking place within the protected areas.

Additional precautions outside protected barrier areas and ground protection:

- All underground services should be installed following NJUG Volume 4 Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Apparatus in Proximity to Trees. The full document is available at <http://www.njug.org.uk/>.
- Building materials and fuels such as oil, bitumen or cement should not be stacked or discharged within 10 metres of the trees stem.
- Fires will not be lit beneath any tree or in a place where flames could extend to within 10 metres of the outer canopy of any tree.
- Trees that are to be retained and protected should not be used as anchorage for services or equipment.
- The use of cranes and large machinery on site should be planned and care taken not to damage the tree during the process.

Visits by an Arboriculturist during the construction process should be conducted to ensure all of the above are being strictly adhered too.

9.0 Appendix 5 – Tree Photographs

Tree ID#T430 + T431 + G1



Tree ID#T432



Tree ID#T434



Tree ID#G14



Tree ID#T438



Tree ID#T441



Tree ID#T442



Tree ID#T443



Tree ID#T451



Tree ID#T452



Tree ID#T4 + T450



Tree ID#T448 + T447



Tree ID#G5



Tree ID#G6



Tree ID#G7



Tree ID#G8

