

From: A DEAR <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 12 November 2016 16:21
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application2016/01274/pnt

Your reference:
Planning Application 2016/01274/PNT

12th November 2016

Dear XXX

Re: Planning Application - 2016/01274/PNT

The above named planning application has been submitted for the following:

"Installation of a 12.5m slim-line mock telegraph pole supporting 6 no. antennas, 2 no. transmission dishes, 2 no. equipment cabinets and ancillary development there to."

I understand that this is a prior notification for a permitted development and the visual impact will be considered in the decision. It will be positioned on the common land between the end of the footpath from Westward Rise and the cliff top fence line.

Both Baruc Ward councillors were consulted and made a visit my home today. I was able to explain to them about my anger that I would be looking a mask while looking after my wife who has a brain tumour that was most probably the result of mobile phone use. Some of my neighbour were also present and the following issues were raised

The single public site notice went up on 4th November and will be displayed until 25th November; at no time have residents been directly consulted.

This mast will be directly outside resident's homes and is positioned in a highly prominent and visually beautiful area that forms part of the Welsh Coast footpath through Barry. I would find it astonishing that anyone could be of the opinion that the location, size and nature of this proposal would not have a significantly detrimental effect on the area.

I object to the proposal on a number of grounds;

1. There will be significant detrimental impact both visually and environmentally
2. A full environmental impact assessment has not been undertaken
3. There is a significant risk to health and safety
4. It will have a significant impact on property values
5. Noise levels will prevent residents from enjoying their existing environment

This should be heard at development control committee and not determined under delegated powers at officer level. This should not be determined under permitted development rights and should be a full planning application. I request that you make an Article 4 Declaration.

I understand that a Judicial Review challenge may be triggered should this application be determined without proper adherence to lawfulness. A number of the residents effected are over 65 and therefore they can have their legal fees capped through Judicial Review.

I request that you confirm your views on the submission, respond to the points made above and let me know if you intend to protect this area.

Yours faithfully
Alan Dear