FIVE MILE LANE IMPROVEMENTS

DORMOUSE MITIGATION STRATEGY

CONFIDENTIAL

AUGUST 2016



FIVE MILE LANE IMPROVEMENTS DORMOUSE MITIGATION STRATEGY

Vale of Glamorgan

Type of document (version) Confidential

Project no: 70021703 Date: August 2016

WSP | Parsons Brinckerhoff 1 Capital Quarter Tyndall Street Cardiff CF10 4BZ

Tel: +044 (0) 2920 228966 www.wsp-pb.com



QUALITY MANAGEMENT

ISSUE/REVISION	FIRST ISSUE	REVISION 1	REVISION 2	REVISION 3
Remarks	Draft for issue to NRW			
Date	16 th August 2016			
Prepared by	Jean Hamilton (TACP)			
Signature				
Checked by	Marc Thomas			
Signature				
Authorised by	Marc Thomas			
Signature				
Project number	70021703			
Report number				
File reference				

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	SURVEY AND SITE ASSESSMENT	2
3	POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT ON DORMOUSE	4
4	MITIGATION STRATEGY FOR DORMOUSE	6

APPENDICES

A P P E N D I X A DORMOUSE SURVEY REPORT APPENDIX A-1 DORMOUSE SURVEY REPORT A P P E N D I X B FIGURE 1

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The Vale of Glamorgan submitted a planning application for improvements at Five Mile Lane, Barry (Planning Ref 2016/00305/RG3). In response to this planning application, Natural Resources Wales (NRW) issued a letter outlining their concerns in relation to the proposed development, and requirements which would have to be met for the development.

This document has been prepared to satisfy Requirement 6: European Protected Species: A condition is secured to any permission granted to ensure a dormouse mitigation scheme is implemented.

2 SURVEY AND SITE ASSESSMENT

2.1 EXISTING INFORMATION ON DORMOUSE IN THE AREA

A desktop study was carried out to search for existing information on dormouse in the vicinity of the proposed works. The South East Wales Biodiversity Records Centre (SEWBReC) was contacted and protected species records obtained to identify any existing information on dormouse within the study area (a 1km radius of the works). Consultation was also carried out with the Vale of Glamorgan (VoG) County Ecologist and Natural Resources Wales (NRW).

There were no records for dormouse in the data received from SEWBReC, although this does not mean they are absent from the area; dormouse is a species which is difficult to detect, unless specific surveys are being undertaken. Therefore, a dormouse nest tube survey was conducted between May and September 2014, and a search for characteristically chewed nuts was carried out in July 2014. Additionally, all hedges and areas of woodland and scrub were assessed for their potential to support dormouse during a scoping study conducted in March/April 2014 and an Extended Phase 1 survey conducted in June 2014.

2.2 SITE SURVEYS

The results of dormouse surveys conducted for the Scheme are summarised below. Full details of the methods used in these surveys are provided in the dormouse survey report included as Appendix A to this report.

NEST TUBE SURVEYS

No evidence of dormice was found during the nest tube survey conducted for the Scheme (although some tubes were found to be occupied by wood mouse). However, the absence of dormice may not be assumed in this case, as dormice are a species hard to find and detect, especially so if present in low densities. However, the results of surveys indicate that, if dormice are present, they are present in low densities.

NUT SEARCHES

No evidence of dormice was recorded during nut searches carried out at Betty Lucas Wood and at Sutton Wood. Characteristically-chewed hazelnuts confirmed the presence of wood mice at both locations.

HABITAT SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT

A total of 61 hedgerows and woodlands on the route of the Scheme were assessed for their potential to support dormice (see Figure 1 in Appendix B). Roughly half of these features were considered to be suitable for dormice, and six features were considered optimal:

- → 6 features were considered optimal for dormice (Category A)
- → 28 features were considered suitable for dormice (Category B)
- → 24 features were considered sub-optimal for dormice (Category C)
- → 3 features were considered suitable only as dispersal corridors (Category D)
- \rightarrow No features were considered unsuitable for dormice (Category E).

Assessment of habitat suitability of features within the Scheme indicates that if dormice are present, they are most likely to occur in the woodland blocks located at either end of the Scheme. A network of hedgerows and treelines provides linkage between these woodlands, and many of the hedgerows (28 in total) were considered suitable for dormice (Category B). Therefore, dormice may be present throughout the route corridor.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT ON DORMOUSE

Dormice were not found during any of the surveys conducted for the Scheme, and so a licence is not required from NRW for the works. However, as a precautionary approach, presence of dormouse in low densities in areas of suitable habitat along the Scheme is being assumed. The potential impacts of the Scheme on dormouse, identified as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment of the Scheme, are outlined below.

3.1 CONSTRUCTION PHASE

The following potential impacts on dormouse have been identified for the Construction phase of the Scheme:

- → Mortality of dormice during site clearance activities. The magnitude of this impact has been identified as *Medium Adverse* and the significance of this impact has been identified as *Slight Adverse*.
- → Disturbance of dormice in nearby retained habitats through construction site noise, lighting or vibration. The magnitude of this impact has been identified as *Low Adverse* and the significance of this impact has been identified as *Slight Adverse*.
- → Loss of small areas of suitable habitat (hedgerows and treelines, some woodland). The magnitude of this impact has been identified as Low Adverse and the significance of this impact has been identified as Slight Adverse.

3.2 OPERATION PHASE

The following potential impacts have been identified for the Operation Phase of the Scheme:

- → Risk of mortality to dormice crossing the Scheme carriageway between hedges and blocks of woodland; recent research has shown that dormice can cross road carriageways during seasonal dispersal movements, and therefore could theoretically be at some risk of road traffic mortality. However, it is likely that such dispersal movements are so rare that the risk of dormouse road mortality is negligible. Similarly, as dormice have been shown to nest in habitat very close to active carriageways, including those with lighting columns, no disturbance effects on dormice are predicted. Therefore, the magnitude of this impact is considered to be Low Adverse and the significance Slight Adverse.
- → Whilst the development will result in the loss of approximately 1.2ha of woodland, 1,693 linear metres (Im) of hedgerow and some small patches of scrub habitats which are suitable for dormouse, the landscaping proposals for the Scheme involve extensive planting which would more than compensate for this loss. It is proposed to plant a total of 6.7ha of new woodland throughout the Scheme, including an area 2.8ha of new woodland between Middleton Plantation and Sutton Wood to compensate for the permanent loss of 0.431ha and the temporary loss of 0.469ha of woodland in the Barry Woodlands SSSI. Additionally, whilst 1,693 Im of existing hedgerow will be lost to the Scheme, it is proposed to plant 6,308 Im of new hedgerow. This would represent an improvement in the foraging resource available to dormice along the verges of the Scheme, within areas of woodland and hedgerow creation, as these will be less intensively managed than the existing grazed pastures. The net impact of habitat creation in relation to habitat loss is considered to be *Low Beneficial*. It is of note, however,

that new planting will take several years to establish to a level that would be beneficial for dormouse, and that the value of these habitats is dependent on appropriate management.

4.1 VEGETATION CLEARANCE

The proposed works affecting dormice comprise vegetation clearance at the initial stage of construction works on site. At present, the date for commencement of site clearance works is unknown.

The clearance methods used will depend on the time of year, the suitability of habitat being cleared (see Figure 1 in Appendix B) and the requirements of the Scheme, for example the need to clear areas for haul routes.

WINTER CLEARANCE

If site clearance is to begin in winter/spring it is proposed that vegetation clearance will be carried out using a 'two stage' method – i.e. above ground vegetation will be coppiced between November and March inclusive, to avoid both the bird nesting season and the period when dormice are most likely to be found above ground, with coppiced areas dug up at a later stage. Coppiced areas should not be dug up any earlier than May (the time when dormice emerge from hibernation). This strategy avoids disturbance to nesting birds, while also avoiding impacts to hibernating dormice (and also to hibernating reptiles). In areas of 'Optimal' and 'Suitable' habitat (as indicated on Figure 1), coppicing will be carried out using hand held tools such as chainsaws and brush cutters; these works will be carried out under an ecological watching brief. In areas of 'Sub-optimal' or lower habitat value, coppicing may be carried out using tractor-mounted flail.

In the event that roots need to be dug up prior to May, for example where haul route access is required, these areas will be finger-tip searched by ecologists prior to excavation. If hibernating dormouse are found during finger-tip searches, they will be taken out carefully in their nests and translocated to adjacent retained habitat. The sites to which the nests will be relocated will be chosen to match as much as possible the conditions of the original nest sites; for example, a dormouse nest found in the base of a coppiced stool will be placed in another coppiced stool in an area of retained habitat.

SUMMER CLEARANCE

If site clearance is to be carried out later than spring, a different approach to dormouse mitigation will be required. Summer vegetation clearance may be carried out, by taking out small patches of vegetation on successive days, when animals are active and do not have dependent young. Between June and August, female dormouse are likely to have young in their nests, and so any clearance in areas with high dormouse potential (i.e. those classified as optimal or suitable; see Figure 1 in Appendix B) should be prioritised for clearance prior in April/May, bearing in mind that dormouse may still be in hibernation in April and so the roots will have to be left in place until May, or finger-tip searched prior to excavation.

All hedgerows and planting will be inspected by an ecologist prior to vegetation clearance, in order to identify occupied dormouse nests. Where possible, these will be checked to confirm the absence of dependant young, with particular care to be taken in the period June to October, when dormouse are likely to have young. Any nests containing active or torpid dormice that can be removed will be translocated into retained habitat close to the Scheme, matching as much as possible the conditions of the original nest site. In the event that dependant young are found,

vegetation clearance on that hedgerow will be delayed until such time as the young are mobile enough to be translocated.

In general, vegetation clearance will commence at or close to the western edge of the Scheme footprint, so that dormice, if present, are displaced primarily from the existing road eastwards into the green-field areas that the Scheme bisects. The area to the east of the existing road contains an extensive network of retained habitat, such as hedgerow and woodland, suitable for dormouse.

Up to 20m of each hedgerow will be cleared per day, so that dormice are progressively displaced into retained habitat, rather than being immediately removed from their home ranges.

4.2 HABITAT CREATION

As stated in Section 3.3 above, the landscaping proposals for the Scheme include for the creation of new habitats, such as hedgerow and woodland. Hedgerow planting has been designed to reconnect the severed ends of existing hedgerows which will be bisected by the Scheme, in order to maintain and enhance dispersal corridors for dormouse. Once it has become established, this new planting will also result in an improved foraging resource for dormouse, as the Scheme will result in a net increase of 4,615 lm of hedgerow. Similarly, the Scheme planting will result in a net increase of 5.5ha of broadleaved woodland which, once established, will provide suitable habitat for dormouse. Planting will comprise native species which are common in the local area and which are of value for dormice, such as hazel (*Corylus avellana*), hawthorn (*Crataegus monogyna*), field maple (*Acer campestre*) elder (*Sambucus nigra*) and honeysuckle (*Lonicera periclymenum*), and will be of local provenance.

Where possible, coppiced stools will be translocated from where they are dug up on the Scheme to planting areas; coppiced stools tend to establish quite quickly, and so these should be prioritised for re-planting in areas of maximum benefit, for example where areas of optimal or suitable habitat are severed.

In addition to the planting proposals, dormouse boxes will be installed in areas of retained habitats under the control of the Scheme, or in areas of new planting (this may require the erection of posts to support the boxes). The number and locations of dormouse boxes will be agreed in consultation with the Vale of Glamorgan County Ecologist and NRW.

Appendix A

DORMOUSE SURVEY REPORT

APPENDIX A-1

DORMOUSE SURVEY REPORT



Dormouse Survey Report

Parsons Brinckerhoff

October 2015

TACP 10 PARK GROVE CARDIFF CF10 3BN

Project Number: 60654

Revision: Rev 2

Revision No.	Date of Revision	Written by	Date	Approved by	Date
0	01/06/2014	JH	01/06/2014	TR	01/06/2014
1	12/10/2015	JB	12/10/2015	TR	12/10/2015
					ļ!
2	20/10/2015	JH	20/10/2015	TR	20/10/2015
	'				

CONTENTS

		Page
List of Abbre	eviations	iii
Executive Su	immary	v
1	Introduction	1
2 2.1 2.2	Dormouse Ecology and Legislation Dormouse Ecology Dormouse Legislation	1 1 2
3 3.1 3.2	Methods Desk Study Field Survey	2 2 2
4 4.1 4.2	Results Desk Study Field Study	3 3 3
5 5.1 5.2 5.3	Assessment and Conclusions Presence/absence surveys Habitat assessment Likely distribution of dormice on the proposed Scheme	4 4 4 4
6	References	5
7 Figure 1: Figure 2:	Figures Habitat Suitability Assessment Nest Tube Survey Locations	5 5 5
8 Appendix A:	Appendices Dormouse Habitat Suitability Results	5 5

NRW	Natural Resources Wales	
SEWBReC	South East Wales Biological Records Centre	
SSSI	Site of Special Scientific Interest	
VoG	Vale of Glamorgan	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents th surveys for the propos	This report presents the findings of Dormouse surveys undertaken as part of the Baseline Conditions surveys for the proposed Five Mile Land Improvements (the Scheme).			
There are no historical records for Dormouse within 2km of the proposed route alignment, but as Dormouse is a cryptic and hard to find species this may not necessarily mean that they are absent from the area.				
During a Scoping Survey for the Scheme, all hedgerows, treelines and woodland/scrub blocks were assessed for their suitability as dormouse habitat. Six locations with high potential for dormouse were then chosen for the deployment of nest tubes, which were left in place for five months and checked for signs of dormouse in July and September. Additionally, searches were carried out for characteristically-chewed hazelnuts at one of the survey locations.				
No signs of dormouse search methods of sur difficult to obtain evide survey results indicat densities. As a preca dormice should be co	e were found at any of the survey locations, from either the nest-tube or nut rvey. However, the presence of dormouse cannot be ruled out, as this it is very ence of dormouse presence, especially if they are present at low densities. The that dormouse, if present within the study area at all, are present at low utionary measure, habitats assessed as having the most potential to support posidered in the assessment of potential impacts and the design of mitigation			

measures for the Scheme.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1.1 This report presents the findings of a study of hazel dormouse (*Muscardinus avellanarius*) activity undertaken as part of the Five Mile Lane Improvements, undertaken by TACP, on behalf of Parsons Brinkerhoff. The Scheme is located in the Vale of Glamorgan (VoG). The aims of the study were to assess the level of dormouse activity within the study area and to assess the likely effects of the Scheme on this protected mammal species. The level of dormouse activity within the study area was assessed by means of a desktop study, a site walkover, and a nest tube survey conducted between May and October 2014.

2 DORMOUSE ECOLOGY AND LEGISLATION

2.1 Dormouse Ecology

- 2.1.1 The hazel dormouse is a nocturnal rodent that lives mainly in deciduous woodland and scrub, feeding on fruits, flowers, seeds and invertebrates. Unlike other small mammals present in the UK, dormice hibernate during the winter months (usually October – April), due to the seasonality of their main dietary components. Hibernation takes place in closed nests woven from leaves, which they build just under the surface of the ground, within deep leaf litter, under log piles or at the base of tree stumps. Dormice are almost entirely arboreal during the summer months, living in the shrub and scrub layer of forests and hedgerows, rarely crossing open ground, perhaps due to the threat of predation. Therefore, dormice are highly vulnerable to habitat fragmentation.
- 2.1.2 In autumn, berries and nuts provide an abundant food source for dormice, and in the early summer dormice move from one species of tree to another, feeding on flowers as they bloom. In the mid- to late-summer, there is a period of potential food shortage, after flowering is over but before berries and nuts have ripened. Therefore, a high level of diversity in tree and shrub species is required in order to maintain a population of dormouse through the summer months. Certain species of tree and shrub are particularly important food sources, notably hazel (as the name suggests), which provides nuts, which are the main dietary component used by dormouse to fatten up prior to hibernation, and insects, which may be important dietary components in mid-summer.
- 2.1.3 Dormice breed during summer and autumn, building nests and typically producing litters of four or five young. During breeding, they may weave their own nests in shrubs and bushes, but prefer to use other, more robust structures such as hollow tree branches, old bird nests or squirrel dreys. They will also use artificial nest tubes or nest boxes as alternative sites for creating summer nests.
- 2.1.4 Dormice live at low densities for a small mammal; the National Dormouse Monitoring Programme indicates an average of between 1.75 and 2.5 adults per hectare. The best habitat for dormice are ancient semi-natural woodland, especially where there is a high degree of structural diversity. However, dormice also occur in other habitats, including conifer plantations, roadside plantations and scrub, particularly where these habitats are connected to more typical habitat areas for the species. Hedgerows, especially those with high species richness, can also support dormouse throughout the year. Hedgerows are also important dispersal routes, and if they are unshaded can be a vital food source for dormice.

2.2 Dormouse Legislation

2.2.1 The hazel dormouse is a European Protected Species listed under Annex IV of the Habitats Directive (Council Directive 92/43/EEC), which is transposed into UK law by the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended). The hazel dormouse also receives limited protection under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and is a Species of Principal Importance for nature conservation under Section 42 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006. It is also a VoG Local BAP priority species.

3 METHODS

3.1 Desk Study

3.1.1 The South East Wales Biodiversity Records Centre (SEWBReC) was contacted and protected species records were obtained to identify any existing information on dormouse within the study area. There has also been consultation with the VoG County Ecologist and Natural Resources Wales (NRW) on 30th April 2014 and the 12th May, respectively.

3.2 Field Survey

Scoping Study – Identification of Suitable Habitats

- 3.2.1 A scoping study was undertaken on 20th March and 22nd April 2014. These surveys comprised a walkover of the scheme footprint and review of habitat conditions within 250 m of the proposed alignment. Additionally, an extended Phase 1 habitat survey was carried out on the 9th and 10th of June. During these surveys, notes were made of any habitats suitable for dormouse within the study area. Signs of dormouse activity, such as chewed nuts or nests, were also noted.
- 3.2.2 During the scoping survey, all hedgerows, woodlands and patches of scrub affected by the Scheme were assessed for their potential to support dormice. These surveys were undertaken during the Scoping Study field visit and during the Extended Phase 1 Habitat Survey. Categories were assigned to habitats, based on several factors, including diversity of food plant species, connectivity to other habitats, structure and management, as described below:
 - A optimal habitat for dormice, with a high diversity of food plants, sympathetic management, good structural complexity for creation of nest sites and links to other suitable habitats;
 - B suitable habitat for dormice, but with a lower diversity, poorer structure, less sympathetic (but not entirely adverse) management, or more isolated;
 - C sub-optimal habitat for dormice, lacking one or more of the optimal characteristics described above;
 - D suitable only for dispersal by dormice, due to low diversity or unsympathetic management, these features may be used by dormice dispersing from adjacent habitats but are unlikely to support resident dormice;
 - E unsuitable for dormice, comprising fences or ditches rather than hedgerow field boundaries.

Dormouse Survey Report

3.2.3 The approximate extent of potential dormouse habitat affected by the proposed Scheme was also recorded. A total of 61 features were surveyed for their suitability to support dormouse (see Figure 1).

Nest Tube Study

- 3.2.4 As several areas within or near the Scheme footprint were identified as good dormouse habitat, it was considered necessary to carry out targeted dormouse surveys using nest tubes. A total of 268 nest tubes were installed at 6 different locations. Generally, 50 tubes were used at each location, but where there was insufficient space, less were used. Figure 2 shows the nest tube survey locations and indicates how many tubes were used at each location.
- 3.2.5 Nest tubes were deployed at the beginning of May 2014, and checked on the 17th/18th July and again on the 29th/30th September. Nest tubes were collected during the September check. The survey was conducted following guidelines provided in Bright *et al* (2006) and Chanin & Woods (2003). Using the 'points' system outlined in Bright *et al* (2006), and further clarified in Natural England (2011), each of the survey sites, except the hedgerow by Northcliffe Cottage (survey location 4), would achieve 20 points (the minimum required for reliable presence/absence surveys in normal circumstances). The hedgerow by Northcliffe Cottage would score 6.5 points. The survey aimed to confirm presence of dormice by identifying dormouse nests.

Nut Searches

3.2.6 During the July 2014 nest tube check, a nut search was carried out at one of the survey sites, Betty Lucas Wood (Survey Area 1), which was considered the most likely to contain dormice, due to the suitability of habitat. This search comprised five 20 minute searches of 10m by 10m areas below heavily fruiting hazels.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Desk Study

4.1.1 There were no records for dormouse in the data received from SEWBReC, though this does not mean they are absent from the area; dormouse are a cryptic species and thus detection is difficult, unless specific surveys are being undertaken. Hence, a specific dormouse survey was conducted.

4.2 Field Study

Habitat Suitability Assessment

- 4.2.1 A total of 61 hedgerows and woodlands along the route of the Scheme were assessed for their potential to support dormice (see Figure 1).
- 4.2.2 Roughly half of these features were considered to be suitable for dormice, and six features were considered optimal:
 - 6 features were considered optimal for dormice (Category A or A/B);
 - 28 features were considered suitable for dormice (Category B);
 - 24 features were considered sub-optimal for dormice (Category C);
 - 3 features were considered suitable only as dispersal corridors (Category D);

- No features were considered unsuitable for dormice (Category E).
- 4.2.3 A table showing details of the scoring of features for dormouse suitability is included as Appendix A.

Nest Tube Survey

4.2.4 Nest tube surveys did not record any evidence of dormice (neither presence of dormouse individuals nor nesting material) at any of the survey location. Several woodmouse nests, some occupied by woodmouse, were recorded in the woodlands to the south of Blacklands Farm.

Nut Searches

4.2.5 No evidence of dormouse was recorded during nut searches carried out at Betty Lucas Wood. Characteristically-chewed hazelnuts confirmed the presence of wood mouse at both locations.

5 ASSESSMENT AND CONCLUSIONS

5.1 Presence/absence surveys

5.1.1 No evidence of dormouse was found during the surveys conducted for the Scheme. However, the absence of dormouse may not be assumed in this case, as dormouse are a cryptic species and hard to detect, especially so if present in low densities. However, the results of surveys do indicate that, if dormouse are present, they are present in low densities.

5.2 Habitat assessment

- 5.2.1 Of the 61 hedgerows and woodlands which were assessed for habitat suitability within the Scheme boundary, roughly half were considered to be suitable for dormouse, with six features considered optimal habitat.
- 5.2.2 The results of the habitat assessment can be used in order to infer where on the proposed Scheme dormice are likely to be present. Although there is no conclusive data on how far dormice may disperse through sub-optimal habitats, it is considered unlikely that they would travel more than 500m (Bright *et al*, 2006) through sub-optimal habitat to reach other areas. Consequently, suitable or sub-optimal habitats within 500m (as a linear distance along hedgerows) of identified dormouse populations are considered likely to support dormice.

5.3 Likely distribution of dormice on the proposed Scheme

- 5.3.1 The results of presence/absence surveys indicate that dormouse, if present at all, occur at very low densities within the Scheme boundary. As a precautionary measure, habitats assessed as having the most potential to support dormice should be considered in the assessment of potential impacts and the design of mitigation measures for the Scheme.
- 5.3.2 Figure 1 displays the suitability of all woodland/hedgerow/scrub features within the Study Area for dormouse. If dormouse are present within the Scheme boundary, they are most likely to occur in the woodland blocks located at either end of the Scheme, which have been classified as Optimal/Suitable for dormouse. A network of hedgerows and treelines provides linkage between these woodlands, and many of the

hedgerows (28 in total) were considered suitable for dormouse (Category B). Therefore, it may be possible to encounter dormouse throughout the route corridor.

6 REFERENCES

Bright, P. Morris, P. & Mitchell-Jones, A. (2006). *The Dormouse Conservation Handbook.* 2nd Edition, English Nature.

Chanin, P. & Woods, M. (2003) *Surveying dormice using nest tubes: Results and experiences from the South West Dormouse Project.* English Nature Research Report 524.

Natural England (2011) *Dormouse surveys for mitigation licensing – best practice and common misconceptions.* Interim Natural England Advice Note.

7 FIGURES

- Figure 1: Habitat Suitability Assessment
- Figure 2: Nest Tube Survey Locations

8 APPENDICES

Appendix A: Dormouse Habitat Suitability Results

Dormouse Survey Report





Appendix A:

Dormouse Habitat Suitability Results

Table 1: Dormouse habitat suitability assessment for all hedgerows/woodlands within or close to the Scheme alignment, colour-coded by suitability; red – A (Optimal), orange – A/B (Optimal/Suitable), green – B (Suitable), turquoise – C (Sub-optimal), blue – D (Dispersal corridors only)

No.	Feature Type	Category	Description
1	Broadleaved woodland block	A/B	Woodland comprising Hazel, Ash and Willow
2	Hedgerow	С	Sub-optimal, flailed annually
3	Treeline/hedgerow	В	
4	hedgerow	С	Flailed annually
5	Patch of scrub	В	Dense patch of bramble
6	Hedgerow	D	Species-poor hedgerow
7	Hedgerow	D	Species-poor hedgerow
8	Hedgerow	С	Flailed annually
9	Hedgerow	С	
10	Strip of woodland/scrub.	A/B	Oak, hazel, ash, hawthorn, blackthorn woodland/scrub. Extremely tangled with dense bramble scrub in understorey. Within 2 m of the carriageway.
11	Hedgerow	С	Hawthorn hedgerow, sub-optimal. Flailed annually.
12	Hedge and trees	С	Hedge and trees species-poor
13	Hedgerow/treeline Woodland corridor/block	А	Dense woodland with Japanese knotweed infestation.
14	Hedgerow	С	Species-poor hedgerow
15	Hedgerow	В	Species-rich hedgerow
16	Hedgerow	С	
17	Hedgerow	С	Species-poor hedgerow
18	Hedgerow	В	Species-rich hedgerow
19	Hedgerow	С	Species-poor hedgerow
20	Hedgerow	С	Sub-optimal hedgerow. Flailed annually.

No.	Feature Type	Category	Description
			Intact hedge, approx. 2m high, 1.5m wide. Woody species: hawthorn, ash, holly,
21	Hedgerow	В	elder and hazel.
		_	Intact hedge bisecting arable land; very dense; approx. 1.8 m high, 1.5 m wide;
22	Hedgerow	В	species-rich.
22	Hedgerow	P	Intact hedge, approx. 2m high, 1.5m wide. Woody species: hawthorn, ash, holly,
23	neugerow	В	
24	Hedge	С	Species-rich hedgerow
25	Hedgerow	с	Species-poor hedgerow
26	Hedgerow	с	
27	Hedgerow	с	
28	Hedgerow	с	Intensively flailed, 4m wide??
29	Hedgerow	с	Species-poor hedgerow
30	Hedgerow	В	Intact hedge; uniform height 2m; approx. 1.5-2m wide.
31	Hedgerow	В	Intact hedge; uniform height 2m; approx. 1.5-2m wide.
32	Hedgerow	В	Intact hedge; uniform height 2m; approx. 1.5-2m wide.
33	Hedgerow	В	Intact hedge; uniform height 2m; approx. 1.5-2m wide.
34	Hedgerow	В	Sub-optimal hedgerow
35	Hedgerow with trees	В	Hedge and trees species-rich
36	Hedgerow with trees	в	Intact hedge with trees; approx. 8-10 m high; 3 m wide. The canopy species: oak, field maple and ach
50		0	
37	Hedgerow	В	Intact hedge; uniform height 2m; approx. 1.5-2m wide.
38	Hedgerow	В	Species-rich hedgerow
39	Hedgerow with trees	С	Hedgerow with trees
40	Hedgerow	В	Sub-optimal hedgerow.

No.	Feature Type	Category	Description
41	Hedgerow	С	
42	Hedgerow	С	
43	Hedgerow	с	Species-poor hedgerow
44	Woodland block	В	Lidmore Wood: mixed woodland; semi-natural. Canopy dominated by pedunculate oak, with some ash and field maple. Planted with conifers including Thuja plicata and Pinus sylvestris.
45	Hedgerow	В	Species-poor hedgerow
46	Hedgerow	В	Intact hedgerow with trees. Good structural and species diversity, and linked to woodland block. Species include blackthorn, holly, hazel, hawthorn, field maple, elder, dog rose and grey willow.
47	Hedgerow	В	Species-rich hedgerow
48	Woodland	В	Sutton Wood: semi-natural broadleaved woodland.
49	Hedgerow	с	Intact, species-rich hedgerow. Dominated by field maple, hazel and ash. Also frequent blackthorn and spindle. Low structural diversity, however; appears to be regularly flailed.
50	Scrub; scattered	В	Extended area of scattered scrub with blackthorn, ash, goat willow, field maple and hawthorn.
51	Hedge and trees	В	Hedge and trees species-rich
52	Hedgerow	С	Species-poor hedgerow
53	Hedgerow	С	Sub-optimal hedgerow (species-poor)
54	Treeline	В	
55	Defunct hedge	D	Defunct hedge
56	Treeline	В	Treeline with oak and ash connected to woodland
57	Woodland	A/B	Woodland block SSSI
58	Woodland	A/B	Woodland block SSSI
59	Hedge and trees	А	L-shaped Hedge and trees, species rich

No.	Feature Type	Category	Description
60	Hedge	В	Species-poor hedge
61	Hedge	В	Species-poor hedge

Appendix B

FIGURE 1

